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#### Abstract
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The debate about school size and effectiveness has been long and often bitter. Schools have a special place in rural communities, a fact often misunderstood or even unknown to persons who have never lived in a rural community. Pride and allegiance to schools in rural communities are commonplace. Yet, the effectiveness or the extent to which these schools match up with larger suburban and urban schools is often questioned, particularly in matters related to eccnomics. The Schools for Quality Education (SQE), an organization of some eighty small schools in Kansas, and the Center for Rural Education and Small Schools (CRESS) in the College of Education at Kansas State University made a joint commitment to investigate the effectiveness of schools representative of smaller schools serving rural areas in Kansas. The willingness of SQE and the more than fifty schools in the study to subject themselves to external scrutiny is quite commendaile. However, I suspect they viewed the risks as minimal, since they probably could predict the results to a great extent. Purposely, this study was not designed to compare schools of different size enrollments. That avenue has been left to those who believe that to be meaningful and useful. However, this study was designed to determine what generally acceptable quality indicators of effective schools are deemed to be important in local communities and then to determine the extent to which these are present in their schools. Certainly, those who believe in local control of schools will find this approach to be reasonable and valid.
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Introduction

Over time, various indicators of school quality and effectiveness have been described and defended. Quality has often been mea sured by the number and types of courses being offered, the number of books in the library, the percentage of teachers with advanced degrees, the copyright recency of textbooks, etc. -- largely quantifiable measures associated with the schooling process. At the same time, effectiveness has been determined by students' scores on standardized achievement tests, the number of students who are awarded college scholarships, the percentage of students who attend college and/or are accepted into prestigious institutions, etc. More recently, attention related to effectiveness and usually described as the "effective schools research" has been focused on those factors contributing to the achievement of children, regardless of socio-economic status.

Generally, it has been found that schools in which all children achieve have several common characteristics: (1) safe and orderly environment; (2) clear school mission; (3) instructional leadership of the prinicpal; (4) high expectations for student achievement; (5) student opportunity to learn and time on task; (6) frequent monitoring of student progress; and (7) supportive home-school relations. Throughout the litera'ture, other indicators of effectiveness are described, including emphasis on higherorder thinking skills, small class size, closeness of teachers to students,
good student attendance, type of instruction, opportunities for student leadership, development of good work ethics, preparation of students to become good adult citizens, etc. Talbert, et al (1987) summarized the characteristics of effective schools, based on the work of Brandt (1982), Austin (1979) and Siquires (1980), as (a) strong administrative leadership, (b) active involvement of the principal as the instructional leader, (c) safe and orderly climate, (d) warm responsive teachers with high expectations for students, (e) close monitoring of student achievement with no student being allowed to fall below minimal mastery, (f) commonly understood school purposes and goals, (g) school-wide emphasis on instruction, and (h) use of rewards and positive reinforcement rather than punishment. Recently (1986), the U.S. Department of Education published a document entitled What Works: Research About Teaching and Learning. In the words of Secretary Bennett, "The information in this volume is a distillation of a large body of scholarly research in the field of education...It consists of discrete findings about teaching and learning that are applicable at home, in the classroom, and in the school." Illustrative research findings described in this document are listed below.
. Teachers who set and conmunicate high expectations to all of their students obtain greater academic performance from those students than teachers who set low expectations. - Students tutoring other students can lead to improved academic achievement for both student and tutor, and to positive attitudes toward coursework.

- Frequent and systematic monitoring of students' progress helps students, parents, teachers, administrators, and policymakers identify strengths and weaknesses in learning and instruction.
- Unexcused absences decrease when parents are promptly informed that their children are not attending school.
- High school students who complement their academic studies with extracurricular activities gain experience that contributes to their success in college.

By inference, the Schools for Quality Education, an organization of some eighty small putlic school districts in Karsas, has identified its perception of effectiveness, at least in part, by identifying how rural is "more" (or "less") than non-rural schools. Among these variables are dropout rate, frequency of discipline problems, opportunities for individual student recognition, attitudes, self-image and respect, etc.

In essence, quality and effectiveness are determined by a wide variety of variables, which are selected according to individual biases and values. Seldom, if ever, has there been a conscious effort to develop a comprehensive amelioration of perceptions among students, parents, administrators and teachers about the expectations of quality and effectiveness of schools and the degree to which small/rural schools fulfill these expectations.

## Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study was (1) to identify characteristics perceived by students, educators, school board members and the community to be the most important indicators of school quality and effectiveness, (2) to determine the degree to which these characteristics are present in selected small/rural schools, (3) to show the relationship, if any, between perceived quality/effectiveness and the wealth of the district, per pupil expenditure, enrollment of the district, pupil/teacher ratic and size of the districi, and (4) to provide a profile of the districts perceived to have the highest and the lowest quality and effectiveness.

## Subjects

The school districts used in this study were randomly selected from a pool of ail public school districts in Kansas with a K-12 enrollment of less tran 1,000 and which met the U.S. census definition of rural, i.e. "all persons living outside urbanized areas in the open country or in communities with less than 2,500 inhabitants." It also includes those living in areas of extended cities with a population density of less than 1,000 inhabitants per square mile. The design of the study, described later, included two phases. It was planned to have 25 districts participate in each phase. Assuming there wouid be some attrition, invitations of participation were sent to 30 school districts for each phase. The resulting participation included 27 school districts for Phase 1 and 28 school districts for Phase 2. Within each district, the following groups of respondents were eligible for participation.

1) high school students (11th grade English class)
2) junior high/middle school students (8th grade language arts or social studies class)
3) teachers (all elementary, junior high/middle school)
4) building administrators (all K-12)
5) district superintendent
6) school board members
7) adult members of the community ( 25 from the telephone directories serving the district)

## Procedures

The study was conducted in two major phases during the 1986-87 school year. Phase 1 was primarily intended to determine the perceived importance of each of 76 inaicators of effective schools, as extracted from the research literature and collapsed from an oriyinal but redundarit list of more than 100. To accomplish this, two forms of an instrument entitled "Indicators of School Quality and Effectivenesss" (ISQE) were developed (see appendix). Generally, these variables/indicators fall into the following categories -

- administrator's role
- teacher's role
- social development
- instruction
- curriculum
- staff development
- career development
- parental/community involvement
- measures of progress
- character development
- organizational structure
- organization of school 'y and year

As much as possible, variables from the same domain (category) were paired, and each one of the flir was randomly placed on one of the two forms of the ISQE. Items without a reasonable pairing were randomly assigned to forms $A$ or B. By random procedures, approximately one-half of the Phase 1 school districts received Form $A$ and the other half received $5_{0}, m$.

After receiving approval from the superintendent, each particifating district was sert a packet of materials that included instructions, questionnaires (ISQE) and computer response cards fo. the response groups described earlier. The response cards for the adult members of the community were returned directly to the reseachers, and the responses from school-based personnel (teaci:ers, students and administrators) were returned as a packet. Each superintendent instructed the researchers on the manner in which school board members received and returned the questionnaire, i.e. direct or through the school.

The responses from the ISQE were analyzed using the Frequencies, Crosstabs, Factor and Breakdown subprograms of the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS ${ }^{\mathrm{X}}$ ).

From the results of the data collected from the administration of the」SQE, a second instrument, "Perceptions of School Quàlity and Effectiveness" (PSQE), was developer, : copy of which is included in the appendix. The PSQE, administered during Phase 2, was intended to determine the extent to which each quality or indicator of effectiveness was found in the local schools. In order for an item to be used on the PSQE it:
a) must be rated above the mean in at least four of the five respondent groups (students, teachers, administrators, school board nembers and community)
b) must be rated 3.90 or higher on a 5-point scale overa?l by all respondents
c) must not duplicate a similar (or paired) item with a higher overall rating

Phase 2 of the study was conducted during the spring Semester of the 1986-87 school year. There were two differelit data collecting processes.

The first involved the administration of the PSQE in 28 school districts, and the second was an independent process of obtaining public data from the files of the Kansas State Department of Education on each of the districts participating in Phase 2. Generally, the type of data reflects those found in the publication entitled Profile of Kansas Schools (January, 1987), which is based on the 1985-86 academic year. Additional items (32-36) were added to the PSQE to determine:
a) importance of extracurricular activities
b) post-secondary plans of students
c) needed improvements in the community
d) overall rating of the school
e) familiarity of teachers with students

The manner of distributing the Phase 2 materials to the local districts and the respondent groups were the same as for the ISQE in Phase 1.

Approval and assistance in obtaining district data on Phase 2 schools were provided by the Kansas State Department of Education. Selected data on district revenue per pupil, wealth of the district, enrollment of the district, pupil/teacher ratio, and size of the district were entered as separate variables.

Analyses of the PSQE data in Phase 2 consisted of computation of means and standard deviations on each variable (1-31) by respondent group, by district and overall. In addition, the "mean of means" for each variable was determined for all participants. Since the respondent groups were very unequal in size, an overall mean is biased toward the perceptions of the groups with a greater rumber of respondents. The SPSS ${ }^{x}$ subprogram frequencies were used for these calculations.

Further analyses were accomplished to determine the correlation coefficients between the mean of means for each quality indicator variable (1-31) and revenue per pupil enrollment (headcount) of the district, pupil/teacher ratio (elementary and secondary), size of the district (square miles), and wealth of the district (taxable income, adjusted valuation per pupil, and taxable income + adjuted valuation per pupil). The SAS (Statistical Analysis System) computer program was used for these computations.

Of all districts in Phase 2, the five perceived to be the most effective and the five perceived to be the least effective :ere determined by calculating an overall mean across all variables (1-31) and across all respondent groups. Each group and each variable were equally weighted, regardless of the number of respondents. These 10 districts are profiled in Tables 4 and 5 using a diverse set of data derived from State Department of Education files.

Lastly, variables corresponding to questions $32-36$ on the $P S Q E$, which pertained to needed improvement, familiarity of teachers with students, etc., were analyzed by calculation of the means and standard deviations by respondent group.

The results of Phase 1 , wnich was designed tc determine the perceived impor tance of 76 qualities or indicators of school effectiveness on a five point scale ( $5=$ Very Important...1 = Very Unimportant), are found in Tables 1 and 2. A "C" response was permitted for the "Do not understand the statement" option, but this response was not used in the statistical analyses.

Only a few items were perceive's to be on the unimportant side of the scale, i.e. < 3.0, by the overall group. Those items that were below 3.0 are listed below.

## I tem

Form A
Q. 15 - More homework is required
of the students
2.66
2.42-3.30 of the students.
Q. The
Q. 32 - The district focuses on a
2.89 simple organizational form and a lean management staff.
2.71-3.50
Overall
Mean

Range of Mean Among Respondent Group
-

Form B

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Q. } 17 \text { - } & \text { High schools offer a general } & 2.42 \\
\text { (one-track) curriculum. }
\end{array}
$$

Only four or $5.26 \%$ of the total of 76 were rated below the mid-point of the scale. Thirty-one items from the ISQE were found to meet the criteria described in the procedures, and they are found as items 1-31 on the instrument (PSQE) used in Phase B of the study.

Table 1
Summary of Responses from Form $A$ of the ISOE
Q. \#

Item

1. Instructional leadership is provided by the principal.
2. Principals work through and with people.
3. Effective classroom management is maintained.
4. Teachers have good attendance.
5. Personalized counseling is provided by the school.
6. The school maintains a safe and orderly environment.
7. Clear standards of conduct are established by the school.
8. Orderly \& coherent instruction is maintained in the classroom.
9. Teachers carefully structure, sequence and pace instruction in the classroom.
Q.

Item
10. Creative and innovative teaching techniques exist.
11. Teachers participate in a team approach with shared objectives
12. Teachers use small groups or individualized instruction for reading and mathematics.
13. Direct instruction is provided in which teachers explain exactly what students are expected to learn and demonstrate the steps needed to accomplish a particular academic task.
14. Time on task management skills are demonstrated by the teacher in order for the students to have an opportunity to learn.
15. More homework is required of the students.
16. High schools offer multiple track curriculums in which college prep, vocational, and general education courses are offered.

| Students |  | Teachers |  | Admin. |  | bOe |  | $\frac{\text { Community }}{\overline{\mathrm{X}}}$ |  | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{\text {ALL }} \overline{\mathrm{SD}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{X}$ | SD | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\overline{\text { SD }}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\overline{S D}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\overline{\text { SD }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 3.70 | 1.33 | 4.13 | . 77 | 4.10 | . 90 | 4.12 | . 73 | 3.97 | . 97 | 3.91 | 1.11 |
| 3.46 | 1.23 | 3.55 | 1.03 | 3.48 | 1.06 | 3.85 | .93 | 3.67 | 1.03 | 3.52 | 1.13 |
| 3.26 | 1.27 | 3.76 | . 95 | 4.17 | . 93 | 4.28 | . 74 | 3.98 | 1.10 | 3.55 | 1.16 |
| 3.92 | 1.27 | 4.26 | . 87 | 4.07 | 1.04 | 4.23 | . 59 | 4.28 | . 95 | 4.08 | 1.10 |
| 3.42 | 1.49 | 4.00 | . 93 | 4.04 | . 98 | 3.75 | 1.11 | 3.23 | 1.64 | 3.67 | 1.31 |
| 2.42 | 1.18 | 2.88 | . 99 | 3.29 | . 81 | 3.30 | . 78 | 2.75 | 1.06 | 2.66 | 1.11 |
| 3.66 | 1.54 | 3.89 | 1.04 | 3.46 | 1.11 | 4.28 | 1.06 | 3.71 | 1.39 | 3.77 | 1.34 |

Q.

Item
17. The school clearly identifiss its academic objectives.
18. Students take two or more years of science and mathematies.
19. Staff training occurs on a schoolwide basis.
20. Career ladders are provided for teachers for advancement opportunities within the teaching profession.
21. Assistance programs are provided for first-year teachers.
22. Parents initiate involvement in the schools.
23. Schools have community support and participation/attendance in school events.
24. Schools have community (school board) participation in selection of curricula.
25. Schools maintain an ongoing diagnosis and assessment of pupil progress.

| Students |  | Teachers |  | Admin. |  | B0E |  | Community |  | ALL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{X}$ | $\overline{\text { S }}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\overline{\text { SD }}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | SD | X | SD | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | SD | X | SD |
| 3.69 | 1.22 | 4.20 | . 91 | 4.17 | 1.04 | 4.23 | 1.21 | 3.96 | 1.22 | 3.93 | 1.13 |
| 3.72 | 1.16 | 4.16 | . 89 | 4.25 | . 97 | 4.19 | . 80 | 3.98 | 1.18 | 3.93 | 1.08 |
| 3.19 | 1.46 | 3.59 | 1.08 | 3.41 | 1.02 | 4.28 | . 89 | 3.71 | 1.23 | 3.40 | 1.31 |
| 3.18 | 1.47 | 3.71 | 1.21 | 3.24 | 1.15 | 3.87 | . 82 | 3.84 | . 96 | 3.44 | 1.35 |
| 3.42 | 1.23 | 3.95 | 1.04 | 3.83 | . 93 | 3.92 | 1.02 | 3.75 | 1.28 | 3.67 | 1.17 |
| 3.68 | 1.30 | 3.71 | 1.09 | 3.48 | 1.09 | 3.83 | 1.13 | 3.75 | 1.36 | 3.69 | 1.22 |
| 4.34 | . 91 | 4.27 | . 85 | 4.21 | 1.05 | 4.33 | . 68 | 4.35 | . 74 | 4.31 | . 87 |
| 3.46 | 1.43 | 3.16 | 1.10 | 3.90 | . 98 | 3.88 | 1.12 | 3.72 | . 96 | 3.36 | i. 28 |
| 3.53 | 1.38 | 4.20 | . 77 | 4.21 | . 96 | 4.36 | . 57 | 4.10 | 1.16 | 3.86 | 1.19 |

## Q.

Item
26. Students rank high on nationally recognized stendardized examinations.
27. Students have good work ethics.
28. Students are involved in the community.
29. Students have access to cultural programs.
30. School curricula prepares the student for "the world of work"
31. The school year consists of 180 days or more.
32. The district focuses on a simple organizational form and a lean management staff.
33. High expectations of students are in existence throughout the school.
34. Small school size exists.
35. A mix of social classes exists within the school.
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}3.44 & 1.31 & 3.29 & .94 & 3.55 & 1.02 & 3.64 & .81 & 3.77 & 1.02 & 3.40 & 1.15\end{array}$

| 4.96 | 1.13 | 4.37 | .80 | 4.07 | 1.13 | 4.50 | .51 | 4.43 | .92 | 4.16 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.78 | 1.17 | 3.77 | .86 | 3.52 | 1.15 | 3.87 | .63 | 3.96 | .89 | 3.78 | 1.03 |


| 3.65 | 1.23 | 3.81 | .89 | 3.76 | 1.12 | 3.92 | .76 | 4.04 | .76 | 3.74 | 1.08 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$4.021 .24 \quad 4.24 \quad .89 \quad 4.00 \quad 1.00 \quad 3.931 .14 \quad 4.17 \quad .95 \quad 4.111 .10$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}3.04 & 1.23 & 3.31 & 1.13 & 3.79 & 1.15 & 3.89 & .89 & 3.51 & 1.33 & 3.20 & 1.21\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2.71 & 1.48 & 2.97 & 1.38 & 3.50 & .75 & 3.40 & 1.35 & 3.35 & 1.52 & 2.89 & 1.44\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}3.53 & 1.20 & 4.43 & .79 & 4.39 & .88 & 4.12 & .73 & 4.08 & .90 & 3.94 \\ 1.12\end{array}$

| 3.43 | 1.33 | 3.37 | 1.31 | 3.69 | 1.22 | 3.12 | 1.40 | 3.69 | 1.16 | 3.42 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.46 | 1.28 | 3.22 | 1.12 | 3.35 | 1.11 | 3.52 | 1.19 | 3.70 | .99 | 3.38 |
| 1.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Q. Item
36. Equity is maintained among social and economic classes and between the sexes.
37. The community is permitted to utilize the schools' facilities
38. District policies stress that participation in school activities is contingent upon the student's citizenship, responsibility and achievement.

Mean of responses across all items. 3.65
Maximum number of respondents
in each group.

| Students ${ }^{\text {S }}$ |  | Teachers |  | Admin. |  | BOE |  | Community |  | ALL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SD |  | SD |  | $\stackrel{\text { SD }}{ }$ | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\overline{S D}$ |  | $\overline{\text { SD }}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{S D}}$ |
| 3.66 | 1.49 | 3.81 | 1.15 | 4.00 | . 89 | 3.73 | 1.40 | 4.07 | . 97 | 3.74 | 1.33 |
| 3.26 | 1.41 | 3.58 | 1.01 | 4.07 | . 88 | 3.62 | 1.10 | 3.76 | 1.18 | 3.44 | 1.25 |
| 3.46 | 1.45 | 3.94 | 1.03 | 4.17 | 1.00 | 4.13 | 1.06 | j. 83 | 1.20 | 3.70 | 1.29 |


| 3.94 | 3.97 | 4.05 | 3.96 | 3.78 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 469 | 29 | 27 | 62 | 1191 |

Table 2
Summary of Responses from Form B of the ISQE
Q. \#

1. Principals provide strong administrative leadership.
2. Principals visit classrooms frequentl:-
3. Ceachers participate in management.
4. Open relationships between teachers and pupils exist.
5. Teachers serve as positive role models for students.
6. Teachers are well prepated in the subject area to which they are assigned.
7. A low crime rate exists in the schoolis.
8. Schools provide emotional support for students.
9. Instructional strategies are established by the teachers.

| Students |  |  |  | Samp | e Gro | ups |  |  | ALL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teachers |  | Admin. |  | BOE |  | Community |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\mathrm{X}} \quad \overline{\mathrm{SD}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\overline{\text { SD }}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\overline{\text { SD }}$ | X | SD | X | SD | X | SD |
| 4.001 .09 | 4.63 | . 73 | 4.84 | . 37 | 4.67 | . 68 | 4.55 | . 79 | 4.29 | . 99 |
| 3.111 .16 | 3.45 | 1.06 | 3.88 | . 99 | 4.08 | 1.26 | 4.00 | 1.02 | 3.32 | 1.15 |
| 3.371 .35 | 4.00 | . 94 | 3.73 | . 92 | 3.31 | 1.17 | 3.78 | 1.10 | 3.60 | 1.23 |
| 4.021 .21 | 4.42 | . 95 | 4.72 | . 46 | 4.23 | . 86 | 4.45 | . 67 | 4.20 | 1.09 |
| 3.821 .38 | 4.63 | . 70 | 4.76 | . 52 | 4.35 | . 85 | 4.44 | . 86 | 4.16 | 1.21 |
| 4.58 .88 | 4.71 | . 66 | 4.77 | . 43 | 4.65 | . 69 | 4.79 | . 54 | 4.63 | . 80 |
| 4.221 .23 | 4.16 | . 99 | 4.44 | 1.08 | 4.30 | . 82 | 4.67 | . 59 | 4.22 | 1.34 |
| 3.771 .26 | 4.21 | . 84 | 4.42 | . 58 | 3.75 | 1.11 | 4.12 | . 98 | 3.96 | 1.12 |
| 3.011 .62 | 4.26 | . 77 | 4.15 | . 68 | 4.00 | . 94 | 4.02 | 1.06 | 3.55 | 1.45 |

Q.

Item
10. Teachers and students have access to instructional technology.
11. Instructional goals are well established in the school.
12. Instructional emphasis is placed on basic skills.
13. Teachers take into consideration students' prior learning styles.
14. Teachers emphasize higher-order thinking skills.
15. Curricular programs are adapted/developed for local needs.
16. School curricula prepares students for college.
17. High schools offer a general (one-track) curriculum.
18. Students take a foreign language.
19. Students take four years of English.

| Students | Teachers |  | Admin. |  | boe |  | Community |  | ALL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{X}} \quad \overline{\mathbf{S D}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | SD | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{S D}}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$ | SD | X | SD | $X$ | SD |
| 3.511 .49 | 3.95 | 1.04 | 4.25 | . 61 | 3.84 | 1.18 | 4.15 | . 72 | 3.71 | 1.33 |
| 3.841 .56 | 4.17 | . 84 | 4.42 | . 65 | 4.27 | . 96 | 4.37 | . 71 | .. 02 | 1.03 |
| 3.621 .36 | 4. | . 87 | 4.56 | . 65 | 4.17 | . 92 | 4.31 | . 93 | 3.92 | 1.21 |
| 3.771 .22 | 3.71 | . 90 | 3.84 | . 99 | 3.52 | . 89 | 3.82 | 1.11 | 3.76 | 1.10 |
| 3.461 .32 | 3.98 | . 94 | 4.14 | . 71 | 3.52 | 1.31 | 3.57 | 1.26 | 3.65 | 1.20 |
| 3.381 .41 | 3.84 | . 98 | 4.16 | . 85 | 3.48 | . 96 | 3.51 | 1.17 | 3.56 | 1.26 |
| 4.111 .28 | 3.91 | . 94 | 4.23 | . 51 | 4.28 | . 89 | 4.23 | . 82 | 4.05 | 1.13 |
| 2.321 .56 | 2.43 | 1.22 | 2.69 | 1.12 | 2.60 | 1.47 | 2.77 | 1.48 | 2.42 | 1.43 |
| 3.031 .26 | 3.04 | 1.12 | 3.39 | . 94 | 3.52 | 1.09 | 3.17 | 1.06 | 3.06 | 1.19 |
| 3.541 .32 | 4.10 | 1.04 | 4.40 | . 82 | 4.11 | 1.01 | 3.91 | 1.24 | 3.79 | 1.23 |

Q. * Item
20. Performance-based compensation for teachers exists.
21. Home-school relations are maintained.
22. Parents feel involved and have a perception of belonging to an educational partnership.
23. The community supports students and their achievements.
24. The community (school board) participates in the selection of teachers.
25. Students have good attendance.
26. Schools follow up on high school graduates' educational and work careers.
27. Schools maintain a high student success rate.
28. Personal development is emphasized in the schools.

| Students |  | Teachers |  | Admin. |  | BOE |  | Community |  | ALL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\text { SD }}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\overline{\text { SD }}$ | $\bar{X}$ | $\overline{\text { SD }}$ | X | SD | X | SD | X | SD |
| 2.81 | 1.52 | 2.44 | 1.31 | 3.19 | 1.30 | 3.85 | . 93 | 3.39 | 1.19 | 2.76 | 1.51 |
| 3.52 | 1.28 | 4.21 | . 79 | 4.08 | . 85 | 4.19 | 1.00 | 4.31 | . 79 | 3.84 | 1.14 |
| 3.50 | 1.35 | 4.14 | . 81 | 4.25 | . 74 | 4.08 | 1.20 | 4.16 | . 93 | 3.79 | 1.19 |
| 4.24 | 1.01 | 4.41 | . 73 | 4.31 | . 74 | 4.31 | . 88 | 4.20 | 1.00 | 4.29 | . 92 |
| 3.91 | 1.19 | 3.01 | 1.19 | 2.89 | 1.37 | 3.55 | 1.14 | 3.58 | 1.32 | 3.55 | 1.28 |
| 4.01 | 1.14 | 4.62 | . 70 | 4.31 | 1.12 | 4.41 | . 84 | 4.55 | . 86 | 4.27 | 1.03 |
| 3.29 | 1.32 | 3.34 | . 98 | 4.00 | .91 | 3.83 | . 92 | 3.09 | 1.17 | 3.32 | 1.20 |
| 3.65 | 1.32 | 3.86 | 1.08 | 4.25 | 1.07 | 4.16 | . 75 | 3.81 | 1.14 | 3.76 | 1.22 |
| 3.69 | 1.24 | 4.15 | . 87 | 4.31 | . 68 | 4.26 | . 76 | 4.07 | 1.07 | 3.89 | 1.13 |

Q. \# Item
29. Students are prepared by the schools to become good adult citizens.
30. Schools provide cultural enhancement to the community.
31. Schools play an important part in the students' sense of control of their destiny and their belief in hard work.
32. High schools maintain a six or seven period day.
33. The composition of the student body stays about the same throughout the year.
34. A clear school mission is in existence.
35. Schools maintain high expectations of teachers.
36. Small class size is maintained.
37. Opportunities are made availble for students to participate in school life.

| Students |  | Teachers |  | Admin. |  | boe |  | Community |  | ALL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SD |  | SD | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\overline{\text { So }}$ | X | SD | X | SD | X | STJ |
| 4.08 | 1.05 | 4.38 | . 84 | 4.56 | . 58 | 4.33 | . 96 | 4.33 | . 72 | 4.21 | . 97 |


| 3.30 | 1.34 | 3.61 | 1.03 | 3.63 | .97 | 3.54 | 1.10 | 3.63 | 1.04 | 3.45 | 1.22 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.67 | 1.36 | 4.18 | .86 | 4.04 | 1.00 | 3.85 | 1.12 | 3.80 | 1.32 | 3.87 | 1.21 |

$3.591 .27 \quad 3.761 .06 \quad 3.961 .15 \quad 4.041 .14 \quad 3.77 \quad .99 \quad 3.671 .19$

| 3.13 | 1.38 | 3.08 | 1.34 | 2.89 | 1.53 | 3.23 | 1.48 | 3.11 | 1.50 | 3.09 | 1.38 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}2.66 & 1.70 & 3.49 & 1.48 & 4.04 & 1.21 & 3.28 & 1.75 & 3.48 & 1.47 & 3.05 & 1.65\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}3.89 & 1.21 & 4.37 & .73 & 4.68 & .69 & 4.63 & .63 & 4.45 & .93 & 4.12 & 1.07\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}3.40 & 1.26 & 4.22 & .93 & 3.86 & 1.19 & 3.77 & .91 & 4.00 & .97 & 3.73 \\ 1.19\end{array}$
$3.901 .20 \quad 4.171 .00 \quad 4.52 \quad .51 \quad 3.791 .18 \quad 3.981 .19 \quad 4.011 .13$
Q.

Item
38. District policies govern a student's participation in extracurricular activities.

Mean of responses
across all items
Maximum number of respondents in each group

| Students | Teachers | Admin. | BOE | Community | ALL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{SD}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{SD}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}} \quad \overline{\mathrm{S}} \mathbf{D}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}} \quad \overline{\mathrm{SD}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}} \quad \overline{\text { SD }}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ |
| 3.081 .45 | 3.621 .17 | 3.761 .51 | 3.761 .20 | 3.001 .51 | 3.29 |

3.57
3.92
4.09
3.94
3.93
3.73

26

27

55
$93 i$

The results of Phase 2 of this study are found in Table 3. These data reflect the extent to which the 31 selected indicators of quality/effective schools listed on the PSQE were perceived to be present in the respondents' local schools. The options and numerical value of each response option for the items are listed below.

5 = Definitely present or true
$4=$ Usually present or true
3 = Lnderified or not able to observe
$2=$ Seldom present or true
$1=$ Never present or true
$0=$ Do not understand the statement
The " 0 " response (Do not understand the statement) was not used in the statistical analyses.

The five variables judged highest or more definitely present or true, based on total responses, are shown below.
a. Students take two or more years of science and math (4.50)
b. Teachers have good attendance (4.50)
C. School maintains safe environment (4.39)
d. A low crime rate exists (4.33)
e. Teachers are well prepared (4.28)

Overall, none of the 31 variables which were selected as the most important frcm among 76 considered in Phase 1 of this study, was rated below the midpoint of the scale $(3.0)$. The percent of the 31 variables rated $\geq 4.0$ by each respondent group is -
a. Students: $22.6 \%$
D. Teachers: $\mathbf{8 0 . 6 \%}$
c. Administrators: $\mathbf{8 0 . 6}$
d. BOE members: 51.6\%
e. Community adults: $29.0 \%$

Although all variables of quality/etfectiveness were rated above 4.0 by each respondent group, the five rated lowest by all resporidents are iisted below.
a. Students have good work ethics (3.58)
b. Parents feel involved and have a feeling of belonging to an educational partnership (3.58)
c. Schools provide emotional support (3.63)
d. Prepare students for the world of work (3.67)
e. Principals provide instructional leadership (3.68)

The lowest rated variable by each respondent group is listed below.
a. Students: Schools provide emotional support (3.29)
b. Teachers: Parents feel involved and (have a) feeling of belonging to an educational partnership (3.61)
c. Administrators: Parents feel involved and (have a) feeling of belonging to an educational partnership (3.61)
d. BOE members: Students have gond work ethics (3.70)
e. Community adults: Students have good work ethics (3.52) and

Prepare students for the world of work (3.52)
The highest rated variable by each respondent group is -
a. Students: Students take two or more years of science and math (4.56)
D. Teacher: Teachers have good attendance (4.57)
c. Administrators: School maintains a safe environment (4.67)
d. BOE members: Students take two or more years of science and math (4.63)
e. Cummunity adults: School maintains a safe environment (4.45)

Table 3
Summary of Perceptions of School Quality and Effectiveness Variable 1 through 31 by Responding Groups - Across All School Districts



| Q.* |  | $\frac{\text { Students }}{\text { X }}$ |  | Teachers |  | $\frac{\text { Admin }}{\underline{\text { a }}}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Community }}{\text { X }}$ |  | All |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Item |  |  |  | $\overline{\text { SD }}$ |  |  | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\overline{\text { SD }}$ |  |  | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ | $\overline{\text { SD }}$ |
| 21. | School identifies academic objectives | 3.82 | . 05 | 4.21 | . 87 | 4.07 | . 98 | 4.24 | 1.05 | 3.80 | 1.01 | 4.01 | . 99 |
| 22. | Instructional strategies prepared by teachers | 3.64 | . 92 | 4.13 | . 78 | 3.99 | . 73 | 3.85 | . 86 | 3.49 | . 90 | 3.85 | . 89 |
| 23. | Teachers postitive role models | 3.49 | 1.11 | 4.26 | . 70 | 4.21 | . 68 | 3.92 | . 86 | 3.69 | 1.03 | 3.86 | 1.00 |
| 24. | Teachers have good attendance | 4.49 | . 75 | 4.57 | . 65 | 4.40 | . 82 | 4.33 | . 93 | 4.28 | . 81 | 4.50 | . 73 |
| 25. | Clear standards of conduct are established | 4.08 | . 96 | 4.26 | . 86 | 4.56 | . 81 | 4.45 | . 85 | 4.28 | . 93 | 4.20 | . 92 |
| $26$ | School maintains safe environment | 4.23 | . 90 | 4.53 | . 70 | 4.67 | . 76 | 4.56 | . 82 | 4.45 | . 77 | 4.39 | . 82 |
| $27 .$ | Principals provide strong leadership | 3.69 | 1.23 | 4.04 | 1.00 | 4.40 | . 76 | 3.98 | 1.15 | 4.03 | . 97 | 3.89 | 1.12 |
|  | Principals provide instructional leadership | 3.49 | 1.24 | 3.82 | 1.04 | 4.10 | . 89 | 3.85 | 1.07 | 3.76 | . 98 | 3.68 | 1.14 |
| $29 .$ | Ongoing assessment puril progress | 3.84 | . 99 | 4.22 | . 79 | 4.27 | . 85 | 4.08 | . 97 | 3.79 | . 98 | 4.02 | . 92 |
| $30$ | Inetructional emphasis on basic skills | 3.82 | . 93 | 4.23 | . 78 | 4.36 | . 73 | 3.94 | . 83 | 3.70 | 1.00 | 4.31 | . 89 |
| $31$ | School maintains high teacher expectations | 3.80 | 1.03 | 4.34 | . 77 | 4.45 | . 79 | 4.28 | . 98 | 3.80 | 1.14 | 4.07 | . 97 |
|  | Number of Respondents | 899-$916$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 782- \\ 818 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 126- \\ & 129 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2012- \\ 2062 \end{array}$ |  |
|  | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Based on the calculated single value for perceived effectiveness on variables 1-31, which could range between 1 and 5, the five most effective and the five least effective school districts are profiled in Tables 4-5. Also, the averages on each factor in the profile for all 304 public school districts in Kansas are shown for comparison purposes. Selected data from these two tables are highlighted below.

## More Effective Schools Compared to Least Effective

- administrative salaries are higher
- districts in counties witn lower density
- smaller percentage of students employed immediately after high school graduation
- larger percentage pursue some type of post-secondary education after high school graduation
- much more reliance on local sources of revenue
- higher adjusted valuation per pupil
- greater total wealth per pupil
- generally, larger percent of students at all levels and in all areas exceed minimum score on Kansas Competency Tests

More Efiective Schools Compared to State Average

- lower enrollment
- lower teacher salaries
- lower percentages of minority teachers and students
- lower density in counties
- nigher percentage of high school graduates attend 2 or 4 year colleges
- higher percentage of high school graduates attend some type of post-secondary education
- lower dropout rate
- more reliance on local resources for revenue
- less Federal resources
- higher school district revenue and general fund operating fund expenditures per pupil
- generally, higher percentage of students at all levels and in all areas exceed minimum score on Kansas Competency Tests

Table 4
Profile of Districts Perceived to be Most Effective
(high to low)
(data for 1985-86 unless otherwise indicated)

## Districts

USD and Kansas Average

## ENROLLMENT

| Headcount | 541 | 504 | 136 | 187 | 670 | 1,349 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

EDIJCATION PERSONNEL

| Average years teacher | 15.6 | 12.1 | 6.0 | 9.9 | 13.2 | 12.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| experience elementary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and secondary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Sex
(1984-1985 percentages)

| Teachers Female | 65.1 | $7 i .4$ | 76.5 | 71.4 | 63.2 | 69.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Teachers Male | 34.9 | 28.6 | 23.5 | 28.6 | 36.8 | 31.0 |
| Principals Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principals Male | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 11.8 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 88.2 |
| Superintendent Female | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| Superintendent Male | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 98.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students Female | 48.5 | 49.0 | 46.0 | 42.0 | 47.0 | 49.6 |
| Students Male | 51.5 | 51.0 | 54.0 | 58.0 | 53.0 | 51.4 |

Race
Teachers (Elementary and Secondary)

| American Indian | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.0 | .5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Asian/Pac. Islander | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | .2 |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | .8 |
| Black | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 3.0 |
| White | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.2 | 98.1 | 95.5 |

Students (Elementary and Secondary)

| American Indian | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | .7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Asian/Pac. Islander | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Hispanic. | 0.9 | 0.3 | 13.0 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 3.4 |
| Black | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.7 |
| White | 972 | 99.4 | 87.0 | 89.4 | 100.0 | 86.6 |

## District Size

| (Square miles) | 158 | 309 | 200 | 224 | 599 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Range in Kansas - Smallest | 10.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Median | 223.5 |
| Largest | 992.0 |

Density of County
(Pop. per sq. mile)
16.1
2.4 .9
6.1
6.7
6.3
29.0

GRADUATES
(Percentages, after graduation from H.S.)

| Employed Full Time | 21.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 14.0 | 21.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unemployed | 9.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 4.0 | 2.6 |
| Attend 2 yr. college | 6.0 | 53.0 | 29.0 | 9.0 | 21.0 | 18.5 |
| Attend 4 yr. college | 52.0 | 12.0 | 14.0 | 55.0 | 40.0 | 38.5 |
| Attend another type of | 3.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| college |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attend other post | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 15.0 | 6.6 |
| secondary (non-college) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Military Service | 3.0 | 5.0 | 14.0 | 9.0 | 6.0 |  |
| All other graduates | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 |
| Unknown | 0.0 | 5.0 | 36.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.5 |

DROPOUTS
\% of grades 9-12
2.4
2.8
2.0
1.75
1.5
4.0

FINANCE
(Sources of Revenues Percent of Total)

Local Sources
State Sources
Federal Sources
Revenue Per Pupil
Adjusted Valuation
Per Pupil
Taxable Income
Per Pupil
Wealth Per Pupil

## Expendi tures

| General Operating Fund <br> Per Pupil | 4,028 | 4,207 | 7,955 | 4,902 | 4,592 | 3,035 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

VANDALI SM
Amount of Damage
300
1,800
3,000
0
0

SCORES
Kansas Competency Test (\% Exceed Min. Score)

| Grades | Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | Rdg | 97 | 97 | 100 | 100 | 93 | 88.5 |
| 2 | Math | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 93.9 |
| 4 | Rdg | 96 | 94 | 100 | 100 | 88 | 84.3 |
| 4 | Math | 81 | 97 | 100 | 92 | 82 | 81.1 |
| 6 | Rdg | 85 | 95 | 80 | 100 | 86 | 84.9 |
| 6 | Math | 88 | 100 | 80 | 100 | 78 | 84.3 |
| 8 | Rdg | 97 | 95 | 100 | $10 C$ | 93 | 91.3 |
| 8 | Math | 95 | 88 | 100 | 75 | 93 | 80.8 |
| 10 | Rdg | 97 | 97 | 67 | 82 | 89 | 86.6 |
| 10 | Math | 88 | 74 | 78 | 76 | 76 | 75.8 |

## Table 5

Profile of Districts Perceived to be Least Effective
(high to low)
(data for 1985-86 unless otherwise indicated)
Districts

USD and Kansas Average
$A \quad B$
ENROLLMENT

| Headcount | 673 | 394 | 495 | 242 | 302 | 1,349 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

EDUCATION PERSONNEL

| Average years teacher <br> experience elementary <br> and secondary | 14.1 | 12.2 | 11.6 | 14.2 | 10.1 | 12.8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salaries (not including) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

DEMOGRAPHICS
Sex
(1984-1985 percentages)

| Te-chers Female | 71.1 | 71.4 | 75.0 | 63.0 | 69.6 | 69.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Teachers Male | 28.9 | 28.6 | 25.0 | 37.0 | 30.4 | 31.0 |
|  | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 11.8 |
| Principals Female | 100.0 | 66.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 66.6 | 88.2 |
| Principals Male | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 1.3 |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 98.7 |
| Superintendent Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Superintendent Male | 50.0 | 43.0 | 46.0 | 52.0 | 49.0 | 49.6 |
|  | 50.0 | 57.0 | 54.0 | 48.0 | 51.0 | 51.4 |

## Race

Teachers (Elementary and Secondary)

| American Indian | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | .5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Asian/Pac. I slander | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | .2 |
| Hispanic. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | .8 |
| Black | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | .3 |
| White | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 95.5 |

Students (Elementary and Secondary)

| American Indian | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Asian/Pac. Islander | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| Hispanic | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 3.4 |
| Black | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 7.7 |
| White | 99.0 | 99.4 | 97.5 | 98.0 | 95.7 | 86.6 |

District Size

| (Square miles) | 92 | 256 | 541 | 437 | 225 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Range in Kansas - Smallest 10.0
Median 228.5
Largest 992.0
Density of County
(Pop. per sq. mile)
$65.5 \quad 19.0$
5.7
35
$69.7 \quad 29.0$

GRADUATES
(Percentages, after graduation from H.S.)

| Employed Fuli Time | 24.0 | 10.0 | 17.0 | 5.5 | 37.5 | 21.9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unemployed | 8.0 | 19.5 | 28.0 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| Attend 2 yr. college | 44.0 | 16.0 | 28.0 | 33.0 | 6.2 | 18.5 |
| Attend 4 yr. college | 12.0 | 29.0 | 17.0 | 22.0 | 50.0 | 38.5 |
| Attend another type of | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| college |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attend other post | 6.0 | 16.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 6.6 |
| secondary (non-college) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Military Service | 6.0 | 16.0 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 0.0 |  |
| All other graduates | 0.0 | 3.25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 |
| Unknown | 0.0 | 3.25 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.5 |

DROPOUTS
\% of grades 9-12
4.0
.87
3.5
0.0
1.3
4.0

FINANCE
(Sources of Revenues Percent of Total)

| Local Sources | 25.0 | 41.0 | 58.0 | 76.0 | 49.0 | 50.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| State Sources | 71.0 | 53.0 | 39.0 | 20.0 | 48.0 | 44.3 |
| Federal Sources | 4.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 5.2 |
| Revenue Per Pupi1 | 4,308 | 5,286 | 5,628 | 6,068 | 4,689 | 3,954 |
| Adjusted Valuation | 35,897 | 79,275 | 123,062 | 191,560 | 98,967 |  |
| Per Pupil | 16,975 | 16,944 | 20,380 | 23,018 | 14,847 |  |
| Taxable Income <br> Per Pupil | 52,872 | 96,220 | 143,442 | 214,579 | 113,814 |  |
| Wealth Per Pupil |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |

General Operating Fund Per Pupil

4,061
5,160
5,065
5,985
4,137
3,035

VANDALISM
Amount of Damage
166
500
300
0

SCORES
Kansas Competency Test
(\% Exceed Min. Score)
Grades Subject
2
2
4
4
6
6
8
8
10
10 Rdg
Math
Rdg
Math
Rdg
Math
Rdg
Math
Rdg
Math
82
92
83
72
95
100
98
77
83
74

| 100 | 96 | 100 | 95 | 88.5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | 100 | 100 | 95 | 93.9 |
| 72 | 82 | 87 | 95 | 84.3 |
| 72 | 62 | 100 | 85 | 81.1 |
| 87 | 89 | 96 | 89 | 84.9 |
| 97 | 93 | 100 | 71 | 84.3 |
| 96 | 100 | 100 | 96 | 91.3 |
| 92 | 89 | 94 | 83 | 80.8 |
| 95 | 91 | 88 | 100 | 86.6 |
| 73 | 77 | 81 | 93 | 75.8 |

The results of the attempt to show relationships between selected district variables and the perceived effectiveness of the schools across all groups are summarized in Table 6. Two significant ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) but fairly weak positive correlations were found between the "taxable income per pupil" and effectiveness and "wealth per pupil" and effectiveness. It should be pointed out that "wealth," as defined by the Kansas State Department of Education, is the sum of the "adjusted valuation per pupil" and the "taxable income per pupil."

Table 6
Correlation Coefficients Between Perceived District Effectiveness and Selected District Variables

| Selected Di trict Variables | Mean | Standard <br> Deviation | N | Corr. <br> Coeff. | Significance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| a. Enrollment (headcount) | 388.0 | 198.0 | 27 | -0.2046 | 0.306 |
| b. Pupil/Teacher Ratio | 13.5 | 2.9 | 27 | 0.0184 | 0.927 |
| (elementary) |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. Pupil/Teacher Ratio <br> (secondary) | 9.9 | 2.5 | 27 | -0.1543 | 0.442 |
| d. District Size (square |  |  |  |  |  |
| miles) |  |  |  |  |  |

*p $\leq 0.05$

Additional items on the PSQE addressed questions of interest related to extent of participation in extracurricular activities, plans after high school, reported high school grades overall rating of the school, familiarity of teachers with students oi's side of school and non-student respondents' priorities in cc munity improvements. The responses are summarized in Table 7, as percentages and means, standard deviations and ranges as appropriate.

Clearly, students in these schools have wide participation in extracurricular activities, with a mean of $>4$ and more than $50 \%$ participate in 4 or more.

Over 70\% of the students intend to pursue some form of post-secondary education, i.e. vocationa school or a "ऍpe of cullege. Only $2.1 \%$ expect to look for a job in their home community, while four times tha. nunber ( $8.9 \%$ ) plan to find a job in a nearby community, a large city in Kansas or in another state.

Few students (1.4\%) report receiving grades of "D" or "F," while more than $70 \%$ usually receive "A's" or "B's." Also, more than $80 \%$ of the students gave their school an overall rating of "Average" or above. Almost 0\% rated their school as "Good" or "Excellent."

Only 1.8\% of the students believe that teachers do not know them at all outside of schc 1. This compares with $50.4 \%$ who believe they are known "Very Well" by their teachers.

More than $80 \%$ of all adult respondent groups believe that extracurricular activities are "Important" or "Very Important," while less than \% believe they are a detriment.

Consistent with students' perceptions, adults believe that a high percentage of students will atterd some type of post-secondary education.

However, adults seem to beifeve that a greater percentage of students will seek jobs immediately after high school in nearby towns than do student respondents.

In order of pieference, the three community elements that adults would improve are listed below.

1. employment opportunities after high school (46.9\%)
2. entertainment/recreation (24.9\%)
3. schools (10.6\%)

Each of all of the other areas (housing, appearance of community, medical services, shopping, racial/ethnic composition, and library services) were selected as the single thing they would improve by $<5 \%$ of all adults.

More than $85 \%$ of the adult respondents rate their schools "Good" or "Excellent," with only $3 \%$ believing they are "Poor" or "Terrible "

Adults in the community believe that teachers know their students outside the school, with more than $75 \%$ marking the option "very Well."

Table 7
Summary of Qualitative Questions - Percentage of Group Perceptions of School Quality and Effectiveness Phase - 2
Q.

Item
STUDENTS
32. How many extracurricular

| activities do you participate | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| ineach year? If morethan 9 | 2 |
| mark $9(0 p t i o n s=1$ through 9$)$ | 3 |
|  | 4 |
|  | 5 |
|  | 6 |
|  | 7 |
|  | 8 |

$$
\begin{array}{r}
4.7 \\
6.7 \\
11.8 \\
17.1 \\
15.2 \\
15.1 \\
10.3 \\
6.4
\end{array}
$$ $\begin{array}{lll}\text { activities do you participate } & 1 & 4.7 \\ & 6.7\end{array}$ in each year? If more than 9 2 11.8

4.3 Mean $=4.336$ Std. Dev. $=2.408$
8.3 Maximum $=9$ Minimum $=0$
33. What are your plans after high school?
$0=$ Don't know
$1=$ Find a jobin this community
2 = Find a job in nearby community
$3=$ Find job in large city in $K S$
= Find jobin another state 2.4
$5=$ Attend vocatiother state
2.4
$6=$ Attend a junior/comm. college
$7=$ Attend 4 yr. college in KS
. 5
$8=A t t e n d 4$ yr. college in KS 40.8
8 = Attend 4 yr . college in
another state
14.5
$9=$ None of the above
4.7
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Percentages
STUDENTS
Q. \# Item
34. Student's usual grade

| $1=\mathrm{A}$ | A | 28.8 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $2=\mathrm{B}$ | B | 42.7 |
| $3=\mathrm{C}$ | C | 22.6 |
| $4=\mathrm{D}$ | 1.1 |  |
| $5=\mathrm{F}$ | F | .3 |
| $6=$ Don't wish to respond |  | 3.9 |

35. Overall, rating of school

1 =. Excellent
$2=$ Good
44.0

3 =Avero.ge
4 = Poor
32.8
4.8 Mean $=2.363$

Std. Dev. $=.905$
36. How well do teachers know you outside of school?
$0=$ Not at all
$1=$ Slightly/somewhat
$2=$ Fairly well
3 = Very well

```
1.8
11.0
36.9 Mean = 2.359 Std. Dev. = .746
50.4
```
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## Percentages

| Q. ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ | Item | Teachers | Admin | BOE | Community | All Adults |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32. How important are extracurricular activities? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0=\text { A detriment } \\ & 1=\text { Unimportant } \\ & 2=\text { Don't know/unsure } \\ & 3=\text { Important } \\ & 4=\text { Very important } \end{aligned}$ |  | 1.3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  |  | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 9.8 | 1.4 3.1 |
|  |  | 5.5 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 6.3 | 5.3 |
|  |  | 50.0 | 35.4 | 48.2 | 54.3 | 48.7 |
|  |  | 39.5 | 59.8 | 47.1 | 27.6 | 39.2 |
| Mean <br> Std. Dev. <br> Maximum <br> Minimum |  | 3.268 | 3.622 | 3.482 |  |  |
|  |  | . 816 | . 580 | . 683 |  | 3.302 .927 |
|  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
|  |  | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
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Percentages
Q. \#

Item
Teachers
Admin.

33. How do you believe students in your community would respond to this question "What are your plans after high school?"
$0=$ Don't know
$1=$ Find job in this community
2 = Find job in nearby town
3 = Find job in large city in KS

| 12.9 | 11.5 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 3.2 | 1.3 |
| 11.9 | 12.8 |
| 2.8 | 1.3 |
| 1.4 | 1.3 |
| 3.8 | 1.3 |
| 24.7 | 12.2 |
| 37.6 | 51.3 |
| .8 | 0 |

. 9
0

| 6.0 | 14.5 | 12.3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2.4 | 1.6 | 2.8 |
| 9.5 | 7.3 | 11.0 |
| 8.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| 1.2 | .8 | 1.4 |
| 1.2 | 7.3 | 4.1 |
| 26.2 | 27.4 | 24.8 |
| 41.7 | 35.5 | 38.1 |
| 1.2 | .8 | 1.1 |
|  |  |  |
| 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
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## Percentages

| Q. \# Item | Teachers | Admin. | B0E | Community | All - Adults |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34. If you could improve one thing which of the following would it be ? |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1=8 \mathrm{chools}$ | 9.7 | 11.1 | 16.5 | 13.3 |  |
| $2=$ entertainment/acreation | 26.3 | 21.0 | 10.6 | 13.3 | 10.6 24.9 |
| 3 = employment opportunitites after high school | 44.9 | 44.4 | 62.4 | 55.5 | 46.9 |
| 4 = housing | 3.0 | 3.7 | 8.2 | 1.6 | 2.7 |
| 5 = arpearance of community | 4.7 | 12.3 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 4.9 |
| $6=$ medical services $7=$ shopping | 1.2 | 9 | 0 | 2.3 | 1.3 |
| 7 = shopping <br> 8 = racial/ethnic composition of | 5.7 | 1.2 | 0 | . 8 | 4.9 |
| community | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 8 |
| 9 $=$ library services | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 |
| $10=$ none of the above need improvement | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
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The purpose of this study was (1) to identify characteristics perceived by studerits, educators, school board members and the curfunity to be the most important indicators of school quality and effectiveness, (2) to determine the degree to which these characteristics are present in selected small/rural schools, (3) to show the relationshif, if any, betweer perceived quality/effectiveness and the wealth of the district, per pupil expenditure, enrollment of the district, pupil/teacher ratio and size of the district, and (4) in provide a profile of the districts perceived to have the highest and the lowest quality and effectiveness.

Dhase 1 of the study was intended to identify the mest important indicators of school quality and effectiveness across all respondent groups. In total, this was accomplished with 31 variables emerging as those most highly and consistently valued across all respondent groups. It is important to note that the respondents from rural communities with small schools are in general agreement with educational resear:hers, who reported the original variables (indicators) in published research reports. As a consequence, it is reasonat le to conclude that rural people have the same general perceptions of what characterizes an effective/quality school as do educational researchers.

As summarized in Table 3, all respondent groups rated their schools high with regard to these quality indicators. Not one of the 31 indicators (variables) had a mean rating at or below the mid-point (3.0) of the 5 print scale. Possibly not surprisingly, teachers and administratore were the most positive and students the least positive. However, it is con lucied that all grouds, including students, perceive that quality indicators are present in
their schools. If there are concerns to be noted, one must conclude that students feel a need for greater emotional support, adults in the community see a need to improve the work sthic of students, and teachers and administrators perceive that parents might not feel involved nor have a feeling of belonging to an educational partnership.

In comparison, even students in the "least effective schools" in this study performed above the state average on all areas of the Kansas Competency Test, and students pursue post-secondary education, at a rate higher than the average for the state. Reasonably, it could be conciuded that they are well prepared in reading and mathematics and they feel confident to pursue higher educational challenges.

While there may be intervening variables confounding these findings, the "taxable income per pupil" and "wealth per pupil" are positively related to the perception of the quaility/effectiveness of schools. In effect, this infers that wealthier districts are perceived as being more effective or quality indicators are more prevalent. This is a weak yet statistically significant re?ationship. Possibly just as illuprtant, school enrollment and pupil/teacher ratios (elementary and secondary) are not related to respondents' perceptions. Does this mean that they have little influence on individuals' perceptions of schools, or could it mean that these factors themselves do not reflect quality or an effective school? The reader must be cautioned that this study was not intended as a comparison between large and small schools. In fact, all of the participating school districts had relatively small enrollments and low pupil/teacher ratios.

In providing a profile between the most and the least effective schools, based on perceptions of various groups, it is concluded that the noticeable differences are relatively few. of course, differences would be difficult to detect, since all schools in the study were rated rather high.

In view of the findings of this study, students, teachers, administrators, members of loral boards of education and adults in the community perceive their schools quite pocitively on variables selected from research literature and : anfirmed as important at the local level. On other variables, for which data was derived from official, public data, these small schools have very low drop-out rates, high state competency test scores, little vandalism, teachers who know students outside the school, students who make good grades in school, high extra-curricular activity by students, and students who expect to pursue post-secondary educational opportunities. In conclusion, these schools are given high marks by those who have the most invested and should have the jreatest knowledge about their local schools. Every respondent group seemed to be satisfied that indicators of quality/effectiveness are found in their schools. External mea sures seem to support this perception. Obviously the fact that these small schools have expenditures per pupil that exceed by a considerable amount the state average is evident. Few would argue that this is not directly related to low enrollment and the corresponding low pupil/teacher ratio, since personnel costs are a large part of local school budgets. One should not te naive about the economic concern, but one must also strongly consider the educational advantages 0 ? schooling in small schools. Clearly, we have long recognized that services cost more for certain segments of society. Haven't we subsidized urban transportation systems, urban renewal, education for the handicapped, disadvantaged and gifted, medical services for aged, and many others? Shouldn't we also recognize that it simply costs more to educate students in sparsely populated areas? There is no evidence in this study that indicates these schools are doing ar inferior job. In fact, there is some evidence that they are doing much better than average,
and, very importantly, the local commurities perceive them to be reflective of qualily. Small schools have distinct advantages for effective schooling, if these advantages are recognized and use made of them. It may be time to consider small schools as the test ground for curricular innovations and use of technology to build on the advantages. Certainly, this study does not answer all questions, and it did not attempt $t$ c demonstrate that one size school is better than another. However, $i=$ does provide benchmark data for others who wish to pursue such an effort
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Appendices

Phase 1

Center for Rural Education and Small Schools


College of Education
Bluemont Hall, Room 214
Manhattan, Kansas 66506
913-532-5886
(Letter to superintendents to request participation in the study)

June 27, 1986

Dear Superintendent ${ }^{\sim} E$ :
Enclosed is a copy of a proposal for a much needed study about the expectations and effectiveness of small schools serving rural areas. While there seems to be voluminous information about urban and suburban schools, little if any is available about the smaller schools ili rüral Alimerica. Wien important decisions are made, the absence of data is often interpreted in the worst possible way. This proposed study has been. approved by the Executive Committee of the Schools for Quality Education organization, which is sharing in the financial costs of the study with Kansas State University. Steps toward developing the instrumentation and selecting the schools/communities to participate have begun. Data collection is scheduled to begin in late August or early September, and the study is targeted to be completed by April 15, $198^{7}$.

This letter and accompanying materials are intenced to give you a description of the study, and we would like to request the $\frac{\text { participation of your school and community. Your community meets the }}{\text { criteria for participation and }}$ was identified as an eligan and, by procedures of random selection, it was identified as an eligible participant. Participation is totally voluntary, but we do ask for your cooperation. The enclosed form is intended to provide you with an opportunity to respond to the invitation. I believe information to be collected and compiled will have value to your school and to others with similar characteristics.

I anticipate that it will take no more than 20 minutes to complete either of the two forms (ISQE and PSOE). He will ask you or a designated contact person in the school district to advise and assist us in administering the instruments within the school. Of course, neither the school/community or any respondent will be identified in the report of the study. In addition, a summary of the results for your school/community, as well as an executive summary of the entire study, will be delivered to you upon completion of the study.

June 27, 1986
Page 2

> Enclosed is a form that I request you complete and return in the postage-paid, addressed envelope. Hopefully, you will be able to return the form within ten days. If you have any questions, please contact me. For your information, I have enclosed a copy of a brochure describing the Center for Rural and Small Schools at KSU.
> Sincerely,

Jerry G. Horn
Director and Associate Dean
JGH: kq
Enclosures


Center for Rural Education and Small Schools
College of Education
Bluemont Hall, Room 214
Manhattan, Kansas 66506
913-532-5886
(Letter to superintendents to request information about their school and community)

August 25, 1986
${ }^{\wedge}{ }^{\text {Fin }}{ }^{\wedge}$
${ }^{\wedge}{ }^{\text {FF2A }}$
${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{FF}^{\wedge}{ }^{\wedge}$
Dear AF4^:
I appreciate your willingneas to participate in the small schools study as per my earlier letter to you. Your school (including your community) is one of 25 selected to participate in the first phase of the study, which will be helping determine the relative importance of several quaility indicators oí efifective schooig. Within the next ten days, a person from our center will be calling your office to get the folloving information.
in Nosber of studenta in on Ath grade social studies class $\qquad$
2. Number of atudents in a 12th grade social studies or English class that is required of selitors $\qquad$
3. Number of teachers in your school district
4. Number of administrators in your school district $\qquad$
5. Whether or not you vould be able to aend us a copy of the telephone book that serves your achool's community (This vould be used to drav a eample of persons from the community who wsuld be anked to respond to the survey.
6. Whether you vould be able to send us a list of achool board members and their mailing addresses or whether you vould prefer to hand the survey form to each board member.

August 25, 1986
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Again, thank you for being a part of this effort. I think ve vill produce some useful data that vill help us better understand and explain the smaller schools serving rural areas. I look forvard to vorking vith you and your community on this project. If you have any questions at any time, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Jeiry G. Horn, Associate Dean and Director, Center for Rural Education and Small Schoola

JGH: Kq
(Letter to superintendents involved in the study)
Ṣeptember 23, 1986

Thank you for your response and willingness for your district to participate in the "School Effectiveness Study." Enclosed are materials to be distributed vy you or by a person whom you designate.
(1) Student packets - one set to be distributed to an 8 th grade social studies teacher and one to a 12th grade English or social studies teacher. (As per your information; you have been sent copies for the 8 th grade and $\qquad$ copies for the 12th grade.) (See enclosed sample letter to cooperating teachers.)
(2) Teacher and Administrator packet - to be distributed to each teacher and administrator in your district.
 sets are enclosed) (See sample letter to educators.)
(3) NOTE: School Board packet for your district is not enclosed as you requested that a questionnaire be sent directly to each member, which has been done or is in the process.

As you can see in the letters, the teachers and administrators have been requested to return the completed cards to your office within five days. I have enclosed an addressed envelope for you to return the cards to me. If you wish reimbursement for the postage, please enclose a note with the cards. Do not return the questionnaires as we have no further use for them in this phase of the project.

I have asked you to distribute the materials and collect the caras, and I really appreciate your assistance. We are hoping for a very high return, so you may have to encourage a timely response. Please call me if you have questions.

Sincerely,

Jerry G. Horn, Director
and Associate Dean
JGH:kq


College of Education
Bluemont Hall, Room 214
Manhattan, Kansas 66506
913-532-5686

September 23, 1986

## Dear School Board Member:

What do you believe are indicators of an effective school? In cooperation with the Schools for Quality Education organization, we are attempting to answer this question for the smaller schools in Kansas, but we need your input. Enclosed is a questionnaire with 38 statements that we have found in the research literature about effective schools. However, I believe that schools must first respond to local expectations, and we want to find out to what extent you believe each indicator (characteristic/condition) is reflective of an effective school. Please note this phase of the study is not asking you to evaluate your school, but it is asking you to indicate what is an indication of effectiveness in
 mark your response to each item on the enclosed computer card and return only the completed card in the addressed, postage-paid envelope within five (5) days.

Thank you very much for your cooperation and the willingness of your district to participate in this project. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Jerry G. Horn, Director and Associate Dean

JGH:kq
Enclosures

Center for Rural Education and Small Schools
College of Education
Blueimont Hall, Room 214
Marihattan, Kansas 66506 913-532-5886

September 23, 1986

Dear Educator:
What do you believe are indicators of an effective school? In cnoperation with the Schools for Quality Fducation organization, we are attempting to answer trins question for the smaller schools in Kansas, but we need your input. Enclosed is a questionnaire with 38 statements that we have found in the research literature about effective schools. However, I believe that schools must first respond to local expectations, and we want to find out to what extent you believe each indicator (characteristic/condition) is reflective of an effective school. Please note this phase of the study is not asking you to evaluate your school, but it is asking $u$ to indicate what is an indication of effectiveness in any school. For us to include your input in the analyses, please mark your response on the computer card provided and return only the completed "ard to the superintendent's office or the person who gave you $t_{1}$ e form within five (5) days.

We greatly appreciate your cooperation and the willingness of your district to participate in this project. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Jerry G. Horn, Director and Associate Dean

JGH:kq
Enclosures
(Sent to community members)
October 21, 1986

Your local school district is participating in a research project to help us answer the question of what are indicators of an effective school. You, as an adult member of the community, have been selected to respond to this question along with 8 th and 12 th grade students, teachers, administrators and school board members in the local schools. This same process is underway in twenty-five other communities across Kansas. The Center for Rural Education and Small Schools at Kansas State University and the Schools for Quality Education, an organization with over 80 members among the smaller schools in Kallsas, are jointly sponsoring this project, and we need your input.

Enclosed is a questionnaire with 38 statements that we have found in the research literature about effective schools. However, I believe that schools must first respond to local expectations, and we want to find out to what extent you telitve each indicator (characteristic/ condition) is reflective of an effective school. Please note this phase of the study is not asking you to evaluate your school, but it is asking you to indicate what is an indication of effectiveness in any school. For us to include your input in the analyses, please mark your response to each item on the enclosed computer card and return only the completed card in the addressed, postage-paid envelope within five (5) days.

Thank you very much for your cooperation and the willingness of your local school to participate in this project. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Jerry G. Horn, Director
and Associate Dean
JGH: kq
Enciosures


Center for Rural Education and Small Schools
College of Education
Bluemont Hall, Room 214
Manhattan, Kansas 66506
913-532-5886

September 23, 1986

Dear Cooperating Teacher:
There are various perceptions about what constitutes an effective school. This study, in cooperation with the Schools for quality Education organization of Kansas, is designed to gain a better understanding of these perceptions and the smaller schools in Kansas. Your superintendent has given approval for your district's participation in this study. Of course, neither you nor your students will be identified by name with the data or in a report of the study.

Included in your packet of materials is a questionnaire/survey form and computer card for each student in your class. Based on information provided to us, your class is required of all siudents at a particular grade level. This helps insure represertativeness of the students.

Please distribute a questionnaire and computer card to each student and ask that they complete and return the marked cards to you. The entire process should take no more than 20 minutes. The cards should be marked with a $\ddagger 2$ pencil or a comparably soft-leaded pencil. Please emphasize to the students that they are not being asked to evaluate their school, but they are being asked to indicate to what extent each item is indicative of an effective school. After collecting the cards from the stiudents, please return the cards as a group to the superintendent's eifice or to the person in your school who gave you the materials.

If you have any questions, please contact your superintendent or me. Sincerely,

Jerry G. Horn, Director and Associate Dean

JGH:kq
Enclosures

Research has found the following items to be qualities of effective schools. How important do you think each one is? You are not being asked to evaluate your sch , 1 or any other particular school, only to express your belief about the importance each item is in determining the effectiveness of schools in general.
"This survey is being conducted under guidelines establisned by Kansas State University. By cooperating, you will help the survey administrators find answers to important questions; however, your particiration is strictly voluntary. You should omit any questions which you feel unduly invade your privacy or which are otherwise offensive to you. Confidentiality is guaranteed; your name will not be associated with your answers in any public or private report oi the results."

Directions: Use the computer answer card in making your responses. DO NOT MARK ON THE SURVEY FORM. Use a \#2 lead or a similar soft-leaded pencil to mark the answers on the card. Each question on the survey form corresponds to a column on your answer card. Mark rnly one number for each question and make only one mark in each column on the card.

## Legend for Responding to Each Item

5 = Very Important
4 = Inrortant
3 = Undecided
2 = Unimportant
$1=$ Very Unimportant
$0=$ Do not understand the statement

## Statemerit/Indicator of Effectiveness

1. 

Instructional leadership is provided by the principal.
02. Principals work through and with people.
03. Effective classivom management is maintained.
04. Teachers have good attendance.
05. Personalized counseling is provided by the school.
06. The school maintains a safe and orderly environment.
07. Clear :tandards of cc iduct are established by the school.
08. Orderly and coherent instruction is maintained in the classroom.
09.

Teachers carefully structure, sequence and pace instruction in the classroom.
10.
11.
12.
13.
14.
15.
16.
17.
18.
19.
20.
21.
22.
23.
24.
25.
26.
27.
28.
29.

Creative and innovative teaching techniques exist.
Teachers participate in a team approach with shared objectives.
Teachers use small groups or individualized instruction for reading and mathematics.

Direct instruction is provided in which teachers explain exactly what students are expected to learn and demonstrate the steps needed to accomplish a particular academic task.

Time on task management skills are demonstrated by the teacher in order for the students to have an opportunity to learn.

More homework is required of the students.
High schools offer multiple track curriculums in which college prep, vocational, and general education courses are offered.

The school clearly identifies its academic objectives.
Students take two or more years of science and mathematics.
Staff training occurs on a schoolwide basis.
Career ladders are provided for teāchers for advancement opportunities within the taching profession.

Assistance programs are provided for first-year teachers.
Parents initiate involvement in the schools.
Schools have community support and participation/attendance in school events.

Schools have community (school board) participation in selection, of curricula.

Schools maintain an ongoing diagnosis and assessment if pupil progress.
Students rank high on nationally recognized standardized examinations
Students have good work ethics.
Students are involved in the community.
Students have access to cultural programs.
30. School curricula prepares the student for "the world of work".
31. The school year consists of 180 days or more.
32. The district focuses on a simple organizationai form and a lean management staff.
33. High expectations of students are in existence throughout the school.
34.

Small school size exists.
A mix of social classes exists within the school.
36. Equity is maintained among social and economic classes and between the sexes.
37. The community is permitted to utilize the schools' facilities.
38.
39.

District policies stress that participation in school activities is contingent upon the student's citizenship, responsibility and achievement. Which one of the following categories best identifies you, as the respondent. (Mark the appropriate corresponding number in column 39 of the card.)

```
1 = high school student
2 = junior high school student
3 = elementary school teacher
4 = secondary (junior/senior high) school teacher
5 = school administrator
= school board member
7 = adult member of the community with a child in school
8 = adult member of the community who has had a child in
        school
9 = adult member of the community who has never had a child
        in school
O = other
```

lease return the completed card in the enclosed postage-paid addressed envelope.
THF.NK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION!

## Indicaitors of school quality and effectiveness

## Form B - 1

Research has found the following items to be qualities of effective schools. How important do you think each one is? You are not being asked to evaluate your scnool or any other particular school, only to express your belief about the importance each item is in d-terminiing the effectiveness of schools in general.
"This survey is being conducted under guidelines established by Kansas State University. By cooperating, you will help the survey administrators find answers to important questions; however, your participation is strictly voluntary. You should omit any questions which you feel unduly invade your privacy or which are otherwise offensive to you. Confidentiality is guaranteed; your name will not be associated with your answers in any public or private report of the results."

Directions: Use the computer answer card in making your respense. DO NOT MARK ON THE SURVEY FORM. Use a \#2 lead or a similar soft-leaded pencil to mark the answers on the card. Each question on the survey form corresponds to a column on your answer card. Mark only one number for each question and make only one mark in each column on the card.

Legerd for Responding to Each Item

```
5 = Very Important
4 = Important
3 = Undecided
2 = Unimportant
l = Very Unimportant
O = Do not understand the statement
```

Statement/Indicator of Effectiveness

1. Principals provide strong administrative leadership.

C2. Principals visit classrooms frequently.
03. Teachers participate in management.
04. Open relationships between teachers and pupils exist.
05. Teacrers serve as positive role models for students.
06. Teachers are well prepared in the subject area to which they are assigned.
07. A low irime rate exists in the schools.
08. Schools provide emotional support for students.
09. Instructional strategies are established by the teachers.
10. Teachers and students have access to instructional technology.
11. Instructional goals are weil established in the school.
12. Instructional emphasis is placed on basic skills.
13. Teachers take into consideration students' prior learning styles.
14. Teachers emphasize higher-order thinking skills.
15. Curricular programs are adapted/develuped for local needs.
16. School curricula prepares students for college.
17. High schools offer a general (one-track) curriculum.
18. Students take a foreign language.
19. Students take four years of English.
20. Performance-based compensation for tea乞hers exists.
21. Home-school relations are maintained.
22. Parents feel involved and have a perception of belr ging to an educational partnership.
23. The community supports students and their achievements.
24. The community (school board) participates in the selection of teachers.
25. Students have good attendance.
26. Schools follow up on high school graduates' educational and work careers.
27. Schools maintain a high student success rate.
28. Perşonal developmen $i$ is emphasized in the schools.
29. Students are prepared by the schools to become good adult citizens.
30. Schools provide cultural enhancement to the community.
31. Schools play an important part in the students' sense of control of their destiny and their belief in hard work.
32. High schools maintain a six or seven period day.
33. The composition of the student body stays about the same throughout the year.
34. A clear school mission is in existence.
35. Schools maintain high expectations of teachers.
36. Smali class size is maintained.
37. Opportunities are made available for students to participate in school life.
38. District poiicies govern a student's participation in extracurricular activities.
39. Which one of the following categories best identifies you, as the respondent. (Mark the appropriate corresponding number in column 39 of the card.)
$1=$ high school student
2 = junior high school student
3 = elementary school teacher
4 = secondary (junior/senior high) school teacher
5 = school administrator
6 = school board member
7 = adult member of the community with a child in school
8 = adult member of the community who has had a child in school
$9=$ adult member of the community who has never had a child in school
$C=$ other
. Dease return the completed card in the enclosed postage-paid addressed envelope.
THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION!

Phase 2

Center for Rural Education and Small Schools
College of Educatlon
Bluemont Hall, Room 214
Manhattan, Kansas 66506
913-532-5886
(Letter to superintendents to request participation in the study)

August 5, 1986

Dear Superintendent ${ }^{\sim} E$ :
Enclosed is a copy of a proposal for a much needed study about the expectations and effectiveness of small schools serving rural areas. While there seems to be voluminous information about urban and suburban schools, little if any is available about the smaller schools in rural America. When important decisions are made, the absence of data is often interpreted in the worst possible way. This proposed study has been approved by the Executive Committee of the Schools for Quality Education organization, which is sharing in the financial costs of the study with Kansas State University. Steps toward developing the instrumentation and selecting the schools/communities to participate have begun. Data collection is scheduled to begin in late August or early September, and the study is targeted to be completed by 4 pril 15, 1987.

This letter and accompanying materials are intended to give you a description of the study, and we would like to request the participation of your school and community. Your community meets the criter a for participation and, by procedures of random selection, it was identified as an eligible participant. Participation is totally voluntary, but we do ask for your cooperation. The enclosed form is intended to provide you with an opportunity to respond to the invitation. I believe information to be collected and compiled will have value to your school and to others with similar characteristics.

I anticipate that it will take no mce than 20 minutes to complete either of the two forms (ISQE and PSQE). We will ask you or a designated contact person in the school district to advise and assist us in administering the instruments within the school. of course, neither the school/community or any respondent will be identified in the report of the study. In addition, a summary of the results for your school/community, as well as an executive summary of the entire study, will be delivered to you upon completion of the study.

August 5, 1986
Page 2

Enclosed is a form that I request you complete and return in the postage-paid, addressed envelope. Hopefully, you will be able to return the form within ten days. If you have any questions, please contact me. For your information, I have enclosed a copy of a brochure describing the Center for Rural and Small Schools at KSU.

Sincerely,

Jer ry G. Horn
Director and Associate Dean
JGH:kq
Enclosures

I express my willingness for the participation of this school district in the Effectiveness of Kansas Small Schools Study.

## Schor, District

Contact Person

District Name $\qquad$ Name $\qquad$
Address $\qquad$ Position $\qquad$
Address $\qquad$
Telephone ( ) $\qquad$ Telephone ( ) $\qquad$

Date
Super intendent

Return to:
Dr. Jerry Horn
College of Education
Bluemont Hall
Kansas State University Manhattan, KS 66506
${ }^{\wedge}{ }^{\text {F1A }}$
AF2^
${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{FB}^{\wedge}$
Dear AF4^:
In responae to an earlier inquiry, you indic $\geqslant t$ ed a villingness to participate in the small schools study rhich is being supported by the Schools for Quality Education aryanization and the Center for Rural Education und Small Schools at Kanaan State Univeraity. I'm pleased to report that the first phase of the atudy has been completed, and based on thece results, the instrument to assess various group's perceptions of the effectivenese of their schools has been developed. Your school has been randomly celected from the pool to participate in the aecond phase of the atudy, which we had originally projecters to be initiated in December. Hovever, we have made a conscious decieion to delay the data collection of this phase until early January. This vill enable us to avoid Christmas mail problems and will probably enhance the responee rate on the survey form.

In the wext fev days, staff members of the center will be calling you to jotain or confirm information about the folloving queations.

1. Number of students in an 8 th grade social studies class $\qquad$
2. Number of atudents in a 12 th grade social etudies or English clase that is required of eniore $\qquad$
3. Number of teachers in your achool district
$\qquad$
4. Number of adminastrators in your school district $\qquad$
5. Whether or nut you muld be able to send us a copy of the telephone book that aerves your school's community (This vould be used tc drav a sample of persons from the community who would be asked to respond to the survey.)
6. Whether you vould be able to aend us a list of achool board members and their meiling addresmes or vhether you would prefer to hand the aurvey form to each board member.

December 2, 1986
Page 2

Again, thank you for being a part of this effort. I think ve will produce some useful data that will help us better understand and explain the smaller achoole serving rural areas. I look forvard to vorking with you and your community on this project. If you have any questions at any time, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Jerry G. Horn, Aasociate Dean and Director, Center for Rural Education and Small Schools

JGH: kq

Center for Rural Education and Small Schools
College of Education
Bluemont Hall, Room 214
Manhattan, Kansas 66506
913-532-5886
(Letter to superintendents involved in the study)
januar: 5, 1987

Dear Superintendent "E:
Thank you for your response and willingness for your district to participate in the "School Effectiveness Study." Enclosed are materials to be distributed by you or by a person whom you designate.
(1) Student packets - one set to be distributed to an 8 th grade social studies teacher and one to a 12th grade English or social studies teacher. (As per your information, you have been sent
copies for the 8 th grade and copies for the 12th grade.) (See enclosed sample letter to cooperating teachers.)
(2) Teacher and Administrator packet - to be distributed to each teacher and administrator in your district.
$\qquad$ sets are enclosed) (See sample letter to eđucators.)
(3) NOTE: School Board packet for your district is not enclosed as you requested that a questionnaire be sent directly to each member, which has been done or is in the process.

As you can see in the letters, the teachers and administrators have been requested to return the completed cards to your office within five days. I have enclosed an addressed envelope for you to return the cards to me. If you wish reimbursement for the postage, please enclose a note with the cards. Do not return the questionnaires as we have no further use for them in this phase of the project.

I have asked you to distribute the materials and collect the cards, and I really appreciate your dssistance. We are hoping for a very high return, so you may have to encourage a timely response. Please call me if you have questions.

Sincerely,

Jerry G. Horn, Directo: and Associate Dean

Center for Rural Education and Small Schools

Dear Educator:
Your local school district is participating in a research project to help us determine the perceived effectiveness of local schools. You have been selected along with 8 th and 12 th grade students, school board members, and others in the community to help us answer this question. This same process is underway in twenty-five other communities across Kansas. The Center for Rural Education and Small Schools at Kansas State University and the Schools for Quality Education, an organization with over 80 members among the smaller schools in Kansas, are jointly sponsoring this project, and we need your input.

Enclosed is a survey instrument that has been developed as a result of responses from persons like yourself from orher communities in Kansas. We na:d to have your perceptions about the effectiveness of your schools. For us to include your input in the analyses, please mark your response to each item on the enclosed computer card and return only the completed card to the superintendent's office or the person who gave you the form within five (5) days.

Thank you very much for your cooperation and the willingness of your local schocl to participate in this project. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Jerry G. Horn, Director and Associate Dean

JGH: kq
Enclosures

Dear Cooperating Teacher:
There are various perceptions about the effectiveness of schools. This study, in cooperation with the Schools for Quality Education organization of Kansas, is designed to gain a better understanding of these perceptions and the smaller schools in Kansas. Your superintendent has given approval for your district's participation in this study. Of course, neither you nor your students will be identified by name with the data or in a report of the study.

Included in your packet of materials is a questionnaire/survey form and computer card for each student in your class. Based on information provided to us, your class is required of all students at a particular grade level. This helps insure representativeness of the students.

Please distribute à questionnaire and computer card to each student and ask that they complete and return the marked cards to you. The entire process should take no more than 20 minutes. The cards should be marked with a \#2 pencil or a comparably soft-leaded pencil. Please emphasize to the students that this is a serious effort and that they are being asked to indicate to what extent each factor is found in the overall school, not this particular class/course. After collecting the cards from the students, please return the cards as a group to the superintendent's office or to the person in your school who gave you the materials.

If you have any questions, please contact your superintendent or me.
Sincerely,

Jerry G. Horn, Director
and Associate Dean
JGH:kq
Enclosures

(Latter to community members)
-January 5, 1987

Your local school district is participating in a research project to help us determine the perceived effectiveness of local schools. You have been selected along with 8 th and 12 th grade students, school board members, educators and others in the community to help us answer this question. This same process is underway in twenty-five other communities across Kansas. The Center for Rural Education and Small Schools at Kansas State University and the Schools for Quality Education, an organization with over 80 nembers among the smaller schools in Kansas, are jointly sponsoring this project, and we need your input.

Enclosed is a survey instrument that has been developed as a result of responses from persons like yourself from other communities in Kansas. We need to have your perceptions about the effectiveness of your schools. For us to include your input in the analyses, please mark your response to each item on the enclosed computer card and return only the completed card in the addressed, postage-paid envelope within five (5) days.

Thank you very much for your cooperation and the willingness of your local school to participate in this project. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Jerry G. Horn, Director and Associate Dean

JGH:kq
Enclosures

College of Education
Bluemont Hall, Room 214
Manhattan, Kansas 66506
913-532-5886
.January 5, 1987

Dear School Board Member:
Your local school district is participating in a research project to help us determine the perceived effectiveness of local schools. You have been selected along with 8 th and 12 th grade students, educators and others in the community to help us answer this question. This same process is underway in twenty-five other communities across Kansas. The Center for Rura? Education and Small Schools at Kansas State University and the Schools for Quality Education, an organization with over 80 members among the smaller schools in Kansas, are jointly sponsoring this project, and we need your input.

Enclosed is a survey instrument that has been developed as a result of responses from persons like yourself frcm other communities in Kansas. We need to have your perceptions about the effectiveness of your schools. For us to include your input in the analyses, please mark your response to each item on the enclosed computer card and return only the completed card in the addressed, postage-paid envelope within five (5) days.

Thank you very much for your cooperation and the willingness of your local school to participate in this project. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Jerry G. Horn, Director and Associate Dean

JGH:kq
Enclosures

## PERCEPTIONS OF SCHOOL QUALITY AND EFFECTIVENESS

Form A - 1

Earlier research has found the following items (01-31) to be important factors in determining the effectiveness of schools generally. You are being asked to indicate to what ex: it each factor is found in your school.
"This survey is being conducted under guidelines established by Kansas State University. By cooperating, you will help the survey administrators find answers to important questions; however, your participation is strictly voiuntary. You should omit any questions which you feel unduly invade your privacy or which are otherwise offensive to you. Confidentiality is guaranteed; your name will not be associated with your ariswers in any public or private report of the results."

Directions: (Use the computer answer card in making your response. DO NOT MARK ON THE SURVEY FORM. Use a \#2 lead or similar soft-leaded pencil to mark the answers on the card. Each question on the survey form corresponds to a column on your answer card. Mark only one number for each questions and make only one mark in each column on the card.

Legend for Responding to Each Item
5 = Definitely present or true
4 = Usually present or true
3 = Undecided or not able to observe
2 = Seldom present or true
$1=$ Never present or true
$0=$ Do not understand the statement

1. Direct instruction is provided in which teachers explain exactly what students are expected to learn and demonstrate the steps needed to accomplish a particular academic task.
2. Teachers are well prepared in the subject area to which they are assigned.
3. Students have good work ethics.
4. Schools provide emotional support for students.
5. Creative and innovative teaching techniques exist.
6. High expectations of s:udents are in existence throughout the school.
7. Teachers carefully structure, sequence and pace instruction in the classroom.

## Statement/Indicator of Effectiveness

8. Effective classroom management is maintained.
9. Schools have community support and participation/attendance in school events.
10. Principals work through and with people.
11. Parents feel involved and have a perception of belonging to an educational partnership.
12. Open relationships between teachers and pupils exist.
13. Orderly and coherent instruction is maintained in the classroom.
14. The community supports students and their achievements.
15. Students have good attendance.
16. Instructional goals are well established in the school.
17. A low crime rate exists in the schools.
18. School curricula prepare the students for the "world of work."
19. Students are prepared by the schools to become good adult citizens.
20. Student.s take two or more years of science and mathematics.
21. The school clearly identifies its acauemic objectives.
22. Instructional strategies are prepared by the teachers.
23. Teachers serve as positive role models for students.
24. Teachers have good attendance.
25. Clear standards of conduct are established by the school.
26. The schocl maintains a safe and orderly environment.
27. Principals provide strong administrative leadership.
28. Instructional leadership is provided by the principal.
29. Schools maintain an ongoing diagnosis arid assessment of pupil progress.
30. Instructional emphasis is placed on basic skills.
31. Schools maintain high expectations of teachers.

Items 32-37 ask for your opinion and!or information about questions important to understanding you and the schools in your community. Each item has a different response pattern. Item $38-39$ has been pre-coded as an identification number for your community.
32. In how many extracurricular activities (athletics, clubs, musical groups, etc.) do you participate each year? (Note: If you participate in nine or more, mark nine on your card.)
33. What are your plans after high school?
$0=$ Don't know
1 = Find a job in this community
$2=$ Find a job in a nearby town or community
3 = Find a job in a large city in Kansas
$4=$ Find a job in another state
$5=$ Attend a vocational school
6 = Attend a junior/community college
7 = Attend a four-year college or university in Kansas
$8=$ Attend a four-year college or university in arother state
$9=$ None of the above
34. What grade do you usually receive in school?
$1=A$
$2=B$
$3=C$
$4=0$
$5=F$
$6=$ Don't wish to respond
35. Overall, how would you rate your school?

1 = Excellent
2 = Good
3 = Average
4 = Poor
5 = Terrible
36. How well do you believe your teachers know you outside the school, i.e. can they call you by name, recognize you in a store, greet you in a car, talk with you about your family, know where you live, etc.?
$0=$ Not at all
1 = Slightly/somewhat
2 = Fairly well
3 = Very well
37. Which one of the following categories best identifies you, as the respondent. (Mark the appropriate corresponding number in column 37 of the card.)
$1=$ high school student
2 = junior high school student
3 = elementary school teacher
4 = secondary (junior/senior high) school teacher
5 = school administrator
6 = school board member
7 = adult member of the community with a child in school
8 = adult member of the community who has had a child in school
$9=$ adult member of the community who has never had a child in school
0 = other
38-39. School ID (Pre-marked)

Please return the completed card to your teacher or the per son who administered this survey form in your room.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION!

## PERCEPTIONS OF SCHOOL QUALITY aND EFFECTIVENESS

Form B - 1

Earlier .esearch has founc the following items (01-31) to be important factors in determining the effectiveness of schools generally. You are being asked to indicate to what extent each factor is found in your school.
"This survey is being conducted under guidelines established by Kansas State University. By cooperating, you will help the survey administrators find answers to important questions; however, your participation is strictly voluntary. You should omit any questions which you feel unduly invade your privacy or which are otherwise offensive to you. Confidentiality is guaranteed; your name will not be associated with your answers in any fublic or private report of the results."

Directions: (Use the computer answer card in making your response. DO NOT MARK ON THE SURVEY FORM. Use a \#2 lead or similar soft-leaded pencil to mark the answers on the card. Each question on the survey form corresponds to a column on your answer card. Mark only one number for each questions and make only one mark in each column on the card.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Legend for Responding to Each Item } \\
& 5=\text { Definitely present or true } \\
& 4=\text { Usually present or true } \\
& 3=\text { Undecided or not able to observe } \\
& 2=\text { Seidom present or true } \\
& 1=\text { Never present or true } \\
& 0=\text { Do not understand the statement }
\end{aligned}
$$

Column

## Statement/Indicator of Effectiveness

1. Direct instruction is provided in which teachers explain exactly what students are expected to learn and demonstrate the steps needed to accomplish a particular academic task.
2. Teachers are well prepared in the subject area to which they are
assigned.
3. Students have good work ethics.
4. Schools provide emotional support for students.
5. Creative and innovative teaching techniques exist.
6. High expectations of students are in existence throughout the school.
7. Teachers carefully struciure, sequence and pace instruction in the classroom.
8. Effective classroom management is maintained.
9. Schools have community support and participation/attendance in school events.
10. Principals work through and with people.
11. Parents feel involved and have a perception of belonging to an educational partnership.
12. Open relationships between teachers and pupils exist.
13. Orderly and coherent instruction is maintained in the classroom.
14. The community supports students and their achievements.
15. Students have good attendance.
16. Instructional goals are well established in the school.
17. A low crime rate exists in the schools.
18. School curricula prepare the students for the "world of work."
19. Students are prepared by the schools to become good adult citizens.
20. Students take two or more years of science and mathematics.
21. The school clearly identifies its academic objectives.
22. Instructional strategies are prepared by the teachers.
23. Teachers serve as positive role models for students.
24. Teachers have good attendance.
25. Clear standards of conduct are established by the school.
26. The school maintains a safe and orderly environment.
27. Principals provide strong administrative leadership.
28. Instructional leadership is provided by the principal.
29. Schools maintain an ongoing diagnosis and assessment of pupil progress.
30. Instructional emphasis is placed on basic skills.
31. Schools maintain high expectations of teachers.

Items 32-37 ask for your opinion and/or information about questions important to understanding you and the schools in your community. Earh item has a different response pattern. Item 38-39 has been pre-ccded as an icuentification number for your community.
32. How important ao you believe participation in extracurricular activities (athletics, clubs, musical groups, etc.) is to the total . $\because$ ucation of students?
$0=A$ detriment
$1=$ Unimportant
$2=$ Don't know/unsure
$3=$ Important
4 = Very important
33. How do you believe students in your community would respond to this question - "What are your plans after high school?"
$0=$ Don't know
$1=$ Find a job in this community
$2=$ Fird a job in a nearby town or community
3 = Find a job in a large city in Kansas
$4=$ Find a job in another state
$5=$ Attend a vocational school
6 = Attend a junior/community college
$7=$ Attend a four-year college or university in Kansas
$8=$ Attend a four-year college or university in another state
$9=$ None of the aivie
34. If you could substantially improve only one thing in your community, which one of the following wouid it be?

1 = schools
2 = entertainment/recreation
3 = employment opportunities after high school
4 = housing
$5=$ general appearance of the community
$6=$ medical services
$7=$ shopping
$8=$ racial/ethnic composition of the community
$9=1 i b r a r y$ services
$0=$ nune of the above need improvement
35. Overall, how woud you rate your school?
$1=$ Excellent
$2=$ Gocd
$3=$ Average
$4=$ Puor
$5=$ Terrible
36. How well do you believe teachers know their students outside the school, i.e. can call them by name, recognize them in a store, greet them in a passing car, talk with them about their families, know where they live, etc.?
$0=$ Not at all
$1=$ Slightly/somewhat
2 = Fairly well
3 = Very well
37. Which one of the following categories best identifies you, as the respondent. (Mark the appropriate corresponding number in column 37 of the card.)
$1=$ high school student
$2=$ junior high school student
3 :- elementary school teacher
4 = secondary (junior/senior high) school teacher
$5=$ school administrator
6 = school board member
7 = adult member of the community with a child in school
8 = adult member of the community who has had a child in school
$9=$ adult member of the community who has never had a child in school
$0=$ other
38-39. Community ID (Pre-marked)

Please return the completed card to the person in your school district who gave you the form.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION!
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